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Calibration is Critical for Climate Change Detection

Without intercalibration: Apparent trend is 0.54 mm/decade

After intercalibration: corrected trend is 0.34 mm/decade
Climate change detection
A challenge for calibration!

Expected Decadal Changes (Ohring et al., 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Expected Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Solar Irradiance</td>
<td>1.5 W/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outgoing Longwave Radiation</td>
<td>1 W/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric and sea surface temperature</td>
<td>0.2 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vapor</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ozone</td>
<td>1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud cover (fraction of sky covered)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Particular requirement on multi-decadal bias stability
Global Space-based Inter-Calibration System

- **What is GSICS?**
  - Global Space-based Inter-Calibration System
  - Initiative of CGMS and WMO
  - An effort to produce consistent, well-calibrated data from the international constellation of Earth Observing satellites

- **What are the basic strategies of GSICS?**
  - Improve on-orbit calibration by developing an integrated inter-calibration system
    - Initially for GEO-LEO Inter-satellite calibration
    - Being extended to LEO-LEO
    - Using external references as necessary
  - Best practices for prelaunch characterisation (with CEOS WGCV)

- **This will allow us to:**
  - Improve consistency between instruments
  - Reduce bias in Level 1 and 2 products
  - Provide traceability of measurements
  - Retrospectively re-calibrate archive data
  - Better specify future instruments
GSICS in the Information Value Chain

- **Observing systems incl. space-based sensors**
- **Sensor (Inter-) Calibration**
- **Products/information generation**
- **Delivery of Service/Knowledge**

End Users « Decision makers »
GSICS User Community

• Satellite Application Community
  – CDR generation for climate monitoring
    “SCOPE-CM” framework, national/international programs
    WCRP/ISCCP - (Planned beta-testing of GEO GSICS Corrections)
  – Reanalysis community for climate modelling (ECMWF reanalysis – 2012/15)
  – Operational NWP: direct radiance assimilation
  – Other users interested in accurate/consistent calibration

• Satellite Operators
  – Prelaunch instrument characterization guidelines
  – Cal/Val Plans
  – Best practices for instrument monitoring and improved calibration

➢ Affiliation with partner programmes
  – CEOS WGCV, GPM X-cal, etc...
# GSICS Principles

## Generic Principles

- **Traceability**
  - to common references
  - through unbroken chain of comparisons
  - with specified uncertainty
- **Systematic generation of inter-calibration products**
  - To *compare* and *correct* calibration of *monitored* instruments to *references*
  - For both Near-Real-Time and Re-Analysis applications
  - Level 1 data (SDR, radiances..)

## Science principles

- **Transform observations to allow comparison**
  - Spatially, Temporally,
  - Geometrically, Spectrally
- **Multiple comparisons of observations to analyse stability/variability**
- **Generate correction coeffs.**
- **Review and publish**

## Data management principles

- **Data access (servers)**
- **Community standards for**
  - Data formats, naming
  - Metadata
GSICS Procedure for Product Acceptance

- Products progress from
  - Demonstration Mode
- Through
  - Pre-Operational Mode
- To
  - Operational Mode
- By a series of reviews
- Over period of ~1.5yr
- Subject to meeting acceptance criteria

Figure 1: From top to bottom, the GSICS Procedure for Product Acceptance is described by four phases - Product Submission Phase, Demonstration Phase (DP), Pre-operational Phase (PP), and Operational Phase (OP) – and their review and revision cycles. The time markers at the far right, and their defined limits, are: date of submission (D₀), and the number of days from D₀ to fulfill requirements to enter DP (D₁ ≤ D₀+90days), PP (D₂ ≤ D₁+365days), and OP (D₃ ≤ D₂+180days).
GSICS Product Acceptance Documentation (1/2)

- Product Algorithm Theoretical Basis Documentation (ATBD)
  - Discussion of physical principles supporting the product
- Software Document
- Algorithm flowchart
  - Including data I/O, logic and software module descriptions
- Software that meets GSICS standards
  - On coding, I/O, filename, and documentation (TBD)
- Software verification results
- Version Control Plan
  - Describes process of performing software/model/measurement updates and archiving
Operations/Distributions Plan
- Outlines how data or results are stored and shared
- Statements about the level of access need to be included
- e.g. Some Products routinely updated on GPRC websites (open), Others accessible from GSICS Data & Product Servers

Data Quality Assessment Documentation
- Documents the estimated value and sources of uncertainty in the product

Data User’s Guide
- Documents detailed data format, quality flag and parameter descriptions.
- Identifies how data format meets GSICS standards,
- and the limitations of product use.
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GSICS Products: (1/3) Bias Monitoring

• Comparing samples of $x_{\text{MON}}$, $x_{\text{REF}}$
  – Over fixed domain
  – Period (e.g. 1 orbit/1 day)
  – Typically ~ 1000 comparable samples/day
• Regression
• Calc bias, $\Delta x = x_{\text{MON}} - x_{\text{REF}}$
  – $\Delta x$ at standard scene, $x_{\text{STD}}$
  – with uncertainty
• Plot time series of bias $\Delta x$
  – Compare recent results with long-term trend
  – Valuable for instrument monitoring
GSICS Products: (2/3) GSICS Correction

- Compare all $x_{\text{REF}}$, $x_{\text{MON}}$ samples
  - over smoothing period (e.g. 2 weeks)
- Regression coefficients
  - with uncertainty (covariance)
- Provide a function users can apply
  - to convert level 1 data, $x_{\text{MON}}$
  - to be consistent with calibration of reference, $x_{\text{REF}}$
- Two versions:
  - Near Real-Time (asymmetric time window)
  - Re-Analysis (symmetric time window)
GSICS Products: (3/3) Guidelines

- Underlying assumption of GSICS Correction:
  - Small errors (e.g. SRF errors, blackbody temperature, ...) introduce small departures from ‘true’ calibration
  - If these are linearly related to a predictor (radiance, time, ...) we can apply empirical correction based on inter-calibration

- Guidelines can analyse GSICS products
  - to diagnose root causes of calibration errors

- Can derive recommendations to modify
  - operating practices (e.g. adopt new SRF definition),
  - pre-launch characterisation, etc.

- These GSICS Guidelines are distributed as written reports
## GEO-LEO IR Product Status 2011-10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPRC</th>
<th>Monitored Instrument</th>
<th>Reference Instrument</th>
<th>GSICS NRT Correction</th>
<th>GSICS Re-Analysis Correction</th>
<th>GSICS Bias Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUMETSAT</td>
<td>Meteosat-9 } Meteosat-8 }--Meteosat-7 }</td>
<td>IASI</td>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>Prototype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMA</td>
<td>MTSAT-1R } MTSAT-2 }</td>
<td>IASI (+ AIRS)</td>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>Prototype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>GOES-11 Imager GOES-12 Imager</td>
<td>IASI (+ AIRS)</td>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>Prototype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOES Sounder</td>
<td>IASI (+ AIRS)</td>
<td>In development</td>
<td>In development</td>
<td>In development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMA</td>
<td>FY2C } FY2D } -- FY2E }</td>
<td>IASI (+ AIRS)</td>
<td>In development</td>
<td>In development</td>
<td>Prototype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMA</td>
<td>COMS</td>
<td>IASI (+ AIRS)</td>
<td>In development</td>
<td>In development</td>
<td>In development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of Collocated Radiances

Simultaneous near-Nadir Overpass of GEO imager and LEO sounder

- Collocation Criteria:
  - $\Delta$Lat $<$ 35° $\Delta$Lon $<$ 35°
  - $\Delta t$ $<$ 5 mins
  - $\Delta$sec$\theta$ $<$ 0.01 (Atmospheric path diff.)

- Concentrated in tropics
  - $\sim$1000 collocations/orbit
  - $\sim$1 orbit/night
Data Transformations (Spectral and Spatial)

**Spectral Convolution:**
- Convolve LEO Radiance Spectra with GEO Spectral Response Functions
- to synthesise radiance in GEO channels

**Spatial Averaging:**
- Average GEO pixels in each LEO FoV
- Estimate uncertainty
  - due to spatial variability
  - as Standard Deviation of GEO pixels
- Use in weighted regression

- LEO FoV~10km
- ~ 3x3 GEO pixels
GSICS Data Access

- GSICS Bias Monitoring (prototype)
  - Hosted on websites of GSICS Processing & Research Centres (GPRCs)
- GSICS Corrections
  - GSICS Data & Products Servers
  - THREDDS-based system
  - netCDF format
  - WMO GTS standard file names
  - Unidata & CF conventions
  - See gsics.wmo.int for links
Example of GSICS Bias Monitoring
From EUMETSAT: Time Series of Meteosat9-IASI Standard Biases [K]

This page shows prototype GSICS Bias Monitoring resulting of the inter-comparison of infrared channels of geostationary Meteosat imagers and the polar-orbiting IASI sounder from collocated observations. The plots show the relative biases between these instruments for standard radiances, corresponding to clear sky scenes over the ocean in a standard atmosphere. The results from the inter-calibration algorithm can also be downloaded as GSICS Correction Coefficients in netCDF format from EUMETSAT’s GSICS and Product Server.

See the GSICS Product Status Summary for further details or visit our GSICS page for a comprehensive list of resources.
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Combining Multiple References

Advantages

• Robustness
  – In case of failure of one reference
  – Allows transition between references – e.g. MetopA->B
• Greater coverage of diurnal cycle
  – Both scene and instrument calibration variability
  – Important for 3-axis stabilized spacecraft
• Broader engagement of GSICS members

Disadvantages

• Segal's law:
  – It refers to the potential pitfalls of having too much conflicting information when making a decision.
  – User confusion
• Not Metrologically Traceable
  – as the references can never be perfectly consistent

Define only one as the calibration reference
All others are regarded as calibration transfer standards
Traceability Statement for AIRS & IASI

• To provide evidence of applicability of our products
  • Statement demonstrates AIRS and IASI suitable as inter-calibration references
    – Prepared collaboratively by GSICS partners
• Discusses various pre-flight and in-orbit tests performed on these instruments
• Focus on relative stability, as this is critical if they are to be used inter-changeable
• Various method described showing consistent calibration of AIRS and IASI
  – each with uncertainties ~0.1K (k=1)
• Discusses traceability chain of AIRS and IASI to SI reference standards
  – Which is needed to achieve the long-term goal of GSICS

• “GSICS Traceability Statement for IASI and AIRS”
Hyperspectral comparison of AIRS-IASI

- Based on SNO observations - Limited to polar regions
- However, can cover most of global range of radiances – over long period
- Mean differences generally ~ few tenth of degrees K or less over full spectrum

Mean AIRS-IASI Brightness Temperature Differences in comparable channels in three spectral bands
Courtesy of Dave Tobin (Space Science and Engineering Center)
Long term stability of AIRS-IASI

- Tobin showed mean BT difference between AIRS and IASI in the 1460-1527 cm\(^{-1}\) band was found to be 0.15K.
- No significant long-term changes over the 3-year period: 0.9±5.6 mK/year.
- Also used during commissioning of IASI, as reported by Blumstein et al. [2007], who showed that comparisons with pseudo-channels from Simultaneous Nadir Overpasses (SNOs) with AIRS showed differences <0.1K.

**Time Series of Monthly Mean Brightness Temperature Difference (1460-1527 cm\(^{-1}\))**

Shows no significant long-term changes over the 3-year period 2007 to 2010: 0.9±5.6 mK/year.
Outstanding Issues with Traceability

• X. Xiong (NASA) to provide Traceability Statement for Aqua/MODIS
• GRWG need advice on application of traceability concept to
  • Model Results
  • Aircraft instruments
• Need for SI-traceable inter-calibration references in orbit
  – CLARREO not funded to Phase A
  – Mission and Science Definition teams are being funded by NASA to continue to advance the science of CLARREO, explore alternative implementation strategies, and reduce technical risk
  – Next decadal survey (2014) may review plans – CLARREO still highly recommended
  – TRUTHS is a similar mission, developed by NPL, covering solar band only, not selected yet as a mission on an ESA spacecraft
Quality Indicators in GSICS Products

• GSICS Correction Coefficients include
  – Estimates of uncertainties and covariances
  – From weighted linear regression
  – Using spatial variance and radiometric noise of each collocated observation as weighting

• Shown as error bars in GSICS Bias Monitoring plots

• But many other processes introduce uncertainty
  – Systematic and Random

• Need to validate these *quality indicators*
GEO-LEO IR Uncertainty Evaluation

- Extended Error Budget for Meteosat-IASI GSICS Corrections
- Following QA4EO / GUM
- Processes at each step of ATBD introducing:
  - Random Uncertainties
    - Dominated by spatial/temporal variability
    - over 3km/300s
    - Validated using time series statistics
  - Systematic Uncertainties
    - Dominated by spatial/temporal mismatches
  - Total uncertainties depend on radiance
    - Mostly dominated by random processes
- Errors much lower in WV channels
- See also poster by Tim Hewison
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2011 GSICS Users' Workshop

- The 3rd GSICS Users' Workshop took place at EUMETSAT Meteorological Satellite Conference, in Oslo on 6 Sept 2011
- With GSICS Poster session
- Agenda:
  - Introduction to GSICS
  - Update on GSICS products
  - User Interaction
  - Feedback from beta testers
  - Cooperation with other groups
Impact on a JMA Product

SST Retrieval

Fig-1 Differences between SSTs with and without GSICS correction (corrected SST – non corrected SST)
Left panel shows the result for 2011.1.8 and right panel shows it for 2011.3.1.

With thanks to Yukio Kurihara (JMA)
Impact on a JMA Product

SST Retrieval

Fig-2 Mean differences (MTSAT SST minus in-situ SST) and standard deviation against in-situ SST

Statistics for the SST with and without GSICS correction are plotted with red and blue, respectively. Left panel shows the result for 2011.1.8 and right panel shows it for 2011.3.1.

With thanks to Yukio Kurihara (JMA)
Lessons Learnt

- Read the QA4EO guidelines and standards first!
  - They can save a lot of work in the long-run
- Construct a rough, but formal error budget first
  - Revise dominant terms later
  - Allows trade-offs to optimise algorithm
- QA Process is iterative
  - User Workshop highlighted need to evaluate our evaluation: Independent review for QA
  - Needs two-way communication with experts & users
Suggestions for Future QA4EO actions

• Set up training workshops on basic principles and/or specific guidelines

• GSICS Data Working Group will review data formats and standards
  • QA4EO representatives welcomed to join this review and for other *ad hoc* agenda items
Thank You